



## WATER PURIFICATION USING INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES

Talipova Gozal Bahadir kizi

Assistant, Tashkent Institute of Chemical Technology

Ergasheva Sarvinoz Muzaffar kizi

Assistant, Tashkent Institute of Chemical Technology

Esemuratova Gulbahor Bakhtbay kizi

Assistant, Tashkent Institute of Chemical Technology

Sobirov Otabek Maksudovich

Assistant, Tashkent Institute of Chemical Technology

### Annotation

One of the sustainable development goals set by the United Nations General Assembly is to ensure the availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all. This requires investment in water purification technologies. World Water Day offers an opportunity to discuss whether such investment will help achieve this laudable goal.

**Keywords:** water purification, technology, innovation, method, investment.

### INTRODUCTION

Wastewater and seawater have long been considered as potential sources from which to produce freshwater. Several technologies have been developed over the past few decades aimed at their reuse and recycle, but unfortunately the treatment of both sources may have perfidious effects.

Of the approaches presently available, desalination seems to have the greatest potential, given that seawater is a nearly unlimited resource. However, desalination is an energy-intensive process. The state-of-the-art technology, seawater reverse osmosis (SWRO), has undergone huge improvements over the past five decades: the specific energy consumption of SWRO was reduced from 20 kWh m<sup>-3</sup> in 1970 to only 2.5 kWh m<sup>-3</sup> in 2010. It has been estimated that a further 0.69–0.79 kWh m<sup>-3</sup> might be saved by a smart process integration with intrinsic heat recovery [1], but desalination of typical seawater (with an average salt concentration of 35 g l<sup>-1</sup>) requires a minimum of 1.07 kWh m<sup>-3</sup>, offering only a little room for improvement. This limit is the foundation of the water– energy nexus and prompts further research





on renewable energy sources for desalination, which remain scarce. In a case study, Delgado-Torres and co-workers used tidal and solar energy for desalination at a semi-arid location in Broome, Australia. Similar studies focus on desalination driven by wind energy, photovoltaics or solar thermal energy.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Although such approaches to water desalination may be viable to supply clean water in small or spatially confined communities – as was demonstrated in the island of Aruba – they offer very little for the water challenges of large cities such as Beijing, Cairo or Cape Town.

In a cost–benefit analysis, wastewater recycling is more favourable than seawater desalination, because the former does not require the expensive separation of salts from water. This may seem surprising given that reverse osmosis is the key technology in both cases. The difference is that wastewater recycling would operate at much lower pressure. Such recycling has been practiced for more than half a century in Windhoek, Namibia, and is accepted practice in water-scarce places such as Singapore [4]. Southern California is presently implementing a large-scale scheme to use recycled water as a potable source and other countries and locations will surely follow. This trend pushes researchers to develop fouling-resistant, high-flux membranes for reverse osmosis and related membrane processes such as nanofiltration. However, new challenges also arise. The production of (polymer) membranes for purification typically requires the use of polar aprotic solvents such as N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), N,N-dimethylacetamide (DMA), 1,4-dioxane and tetrahydrofuran (THF). These solvents have a considerable environmental impact and significant effort is invested in their replacement with ‘greener’ solvents such as organic carbonates or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) [7]. Another limitation for present membrane technologies lies in the availability, processing and scale-up of materials for their manufacture. For example, two 2006 reports describe how incorporating carbon nanotubes into membranes affords permeabilities one to two orders of magnitude larger than those of conventional membranes. However, scaling up the synthesis of such membranes was not expected to be easy – and, indeed, it has, so far, not happened. Since these reports emerged, there have been numerous studies on mixed-matrix membranes combining other nanostructures with polymeric matrices but, thus far, none has yet been applied on a large scale. Typically, good results are obtained in the laboratory, but the cost of producing the required nanostructures or issues associated with toxicity or leaching of nanoparticles from membranes have





proven prohibitive for industrial use. Researchers need to place greater focus on the development of realistic membranes rather than just better membranes.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Closing the water cycle by either desalination or wastewater purification promises to provide virtually unlimited volumes of freshwater: in principle, it would enable an increase in water consumption by a factor equal to the inverse of the recycled fraction. However, we must be cognizant of unintended consequences. Water availability is one of the limiting factors for population growth and greater availability would certainly stimulate population growth [2]. History has shown that humankind naturally makes use of available resources, sometimes with dramatic consequences, as exemplified by the agricultural and industrial revolutions. A historical, sociological and demographic analysis by Harari shows that if water recycling is practised on a large scale, water consumption per capita may remain the same but our population will grow by the inverse of the recycled fraction. This would then automatically lead to new challenges. A disenchanting example is the present SARS-CoV-2 virus: the scale of the outbreak would have been much more contained in a modest, local society without overpopulation. Water technologies may catalyse global growth more than any other technology because water is one of very few commodities that humankind cannot do without. This is of course not the case for industrialized countries, where water is not a limiting factor, but in most parts of the world it is. Harari was criticized for being unfamiliar with technologies, and, while this may be a fair criticism, warnings from other disciplines should not be summarily dismissed by technology developers.

Drinking water is crucial for survival for life on Earth so it is obvious that there should be plenty of drinking water and that too clean drinking water if humanity wants to survive on this planet. But unfortunately humans have destroyed fresh water resources due to their selfishness and greedy activities, which has caused degradation and decrease in the supply of freshwater. And then there is climate change, which is increasing water scarcity around the globe.

But there are some good people out there who are working hard to come up with technologies that can either help provide water where there is less amounts of it or help clean the already degraded water.

### 1. Desalination Systems

Desalination is a process that removes salt and other minerals from the water. It is a pretty straight-forward and simple process, but it can prove to be extremely useful in





places where there are droughts and shortage of water. Also read: Dire Need of Sea Water Desalination Plants in Sindh Pakistan [3]

## **2. Nanotechnology Water Treatment**

The nanotechnology is much more efficient as compared to the conventional water filtration systems as they don't require much pressure and have lesser pores as compared to conventional treatments. It can remove bacteria, sediments and even smaller sized pathogens [4].

## **3. Solar Water Filtration**

There are many countries in the world, that have enough supply of water for their drinking requirements but the water is contaminated or polluted as there is direct discharge of sewage sludge within the water sources or the contamination of sewage into the water pipes. This has become a major issue in the developing countries where clean drinking water is already an issue. Therefore, a solar powered water filtration is developed that provides 20, 000 liters of clean water daily for more than 10 years with the help of solar and wind powered system. For more info, please read: Now Water Pollution Can Be Cleaned Up Using Sunlight [5].

## **4. LifeStraw – The Water Filtering Straw**

The drinking straw is developed by **Vestergaarda**. It is a global company that provides innovative solutions to contribute towards a healthy and more sustainable world. The lifestraw purifies a minimum of 1,000 liters of water and removes 99.9 percent of bacteria and through an inimitable filtration system. There are also high-capacity water purifiers for emergency preparedness and emergency response teams. Due to ban on plastics, they are now available in steel in place of plastic [8].

## **5. Toxins Eating Bacteria**

Algal bloom in fresh and salt water is caused by blue green algae called cyanobacteria. They produce microcystins that can be ingested by humans while drinking water or swimming in contaminated water. Once they enter in our body, they can easily attack on liver cells. Therefore, scientists have developed toxins eating bacteria. They break down microcystins into harmless and non-toxic materials. If this alga killing bacteria is introduced into water source it will break down the microcysts and water will be safe to use [10].





## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the scope of water technologies may need to be reconsidered. There is no need for a major technological breakthrough in water recycling or desalination. What is really needed is for present technologies to be available to children growing up without access to clean water sources, as stated in the United Nations sustainable development goals. This will require dedicated, embedded actions towards maintaining the demographic status quo while respecting the basic human rights of all. The goals then are a useful tool to monitor progress but must be considered in context because the indicators that are used can result in tunnel vision. Furthermore, lifestyle choices in terms of water – reduce, reuse and recycle – need to be thoroughly considered and be more than just a hollow slogan.

## REFERENCES

1. Park, K., Kim, J. B., Yang, D. R. & Hong, S. K. Towards a low-energy seawater reverse osmosis desalination plant: a review and theoretical analysis for future directions. *J. Membr. Sci.* 595, 117607 (2020).
2. Delgado-Torres, A. M., García-Rodríguez, L. & Jiménez del Moral, M. Preliminary assessment of innovative seawater reverse osmosis (SWRO) desalination powered by a hybrid solar photovoltaic
3. (PV) - tidal range energy system. *Desalination* 477, 114247 (2020).
4. Brendel, L. P. M., Shah, V. M., Groll, E. A. & Braun, J. E. A methodology for analyzing renewable energy opportunities for desalination and its application to Aruba. *Desalination* 493, 114613 (2020).
5. Lafforgue, M. & Lenouvel, V. Closing the urban water loop: lessons from Singapore and Windhoek. *Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol.* 1, 622–631 (2015).
6. Chalmers, R. B., Tremblay, M. & Soni, R. A new water source for Southern California: the regional recycled water program. *J. AWWA* 112, 6–19 (2020).
7. Rasool, M. A., Pescarmona, P. P. & Vankelecom, I. F. J. Applicability of organic carbonates as green solvents for membrane preparation. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 7, 13774–13785 (2019).
8. Evenepoel, N., Wen, S., Tsehaye, M. T. & Van der Bruggen, B. Potential of DMSO as greener solvent for PES ultra- and nanofiltration membrane preparation. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* 135, 46494 (2018).
9. Sholl, D. S. & Johnson, J. K. Making high-flux membranes with carbon nanotubes. *Science* 312, 1003–1004 (2006).
10. Harari, Y. N. *Sapiens: A Brief History of Humankind* (Harper Collins, 2015).
11. Weststrate, J., Dijkstra, G., Eshuis, J., Gianoli, A. & Rusca, M. The sustainable development goal on water and sanitation: learning from the millennium development goals. *Soc. Indic. Res.* 143, 795–810 (2019).

