WEB OF SCIENTIST: INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH JOURNAL
ISSN: 2776-0979, Volume 7, Issue 9, September- 2026

TEACHING THE REDUCED QUADRATIC FORMULA FOR EQUATIONS WITH AN EVEN LINEAR COEFFICIENT: A LITERATURE REVIEW

Sottorov Shuxratjon Sadriddinovich
Namangan State Technical University Academic Lyceum

Abstract

Quadratic equations of the form $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ in which the linear coefficient b is even admit a simplified, so-called reduced-discriminant solution formula, $x = (-b/2 \pm \sqrt{((b/2)^2 - ac)}) / a$, which reduces computational complexity relative to the general quadratic formula yet receives uneven curricular attention across educational systems. This paper reviews recent literature on the teaching and learning of quadratic equations, with particular attention to findings relevant to instruction on this even-coefficient, reduced-formula case. Drawing primarily on a 2026 systematic review synthesizing 38 empirical studies from fourteen countries, alongside earlier thematic reviews and curriculum-comparison research, this paper examines three themes: documented cross-curricular variation in whether and how the reduced formula is introduced; empirical findings on student computational errors specific to quadratic-formula application, particularly involving fractions and radicals; and pedagogical recommendations for building the conceptual, rather than purely procedural, understanding needed to recognize and exploit the even-coefficient case efficiently. The review finds that reduced-formula instruction is not curricularly universal, that quadratic-formula application errors, especially arithmetic errors with rational numbers and radicals, are among the most frequently documented student difficulties in this literature, and that recommendations converge on teaching multiple, explicitly compared solution strategies rather than presenting the reduced formula as an isolated computational shortcut.

Keywords: Quadratic equations; reduced discriminant; even coefficient; quadratic formula; algebra education; literature review.

Introduction

The quadratic formula, $x = (-b \pm \sqrt{(b^2 - 4ac)}) / 2a$, is the most general method for solving quadratic equations of the form $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ and is applicable regardless of the specific values of the coefficients a, b, and c. When the linear coefficient b is even, however, this general formula admits a computationally simplified variant, sometimes termed the reduced-discriminant or half-discriminant formula, in which the discriminant is expressed as $(b/2)^2 - ac$ rather than $b^2 - 4ac$, yielding the

solution $x = (-b/2 \pm \sqrt{((b/2)^2 - ac)}) / a$. This variant reduces the arithmetic burden of the calculation, particularly the size of the numbers manipulated under the radical, and is presented as a standard technique in a number of national curricula, though, as reviewed below, by no means universally.

This paper reviews recent literature on the teaching and learning of quadratic equations, with specific attention to findings bearing on instruction of this even-coefficient, reduced-formula case. Quadratic equations occupy a well-established position in secondary algebra curricula and have accordingly been the subject of a substantial body of mathematics education research; this review draws primarily on a comprehensive 2026 systematic review of 38 empirical studies published in Educational Studies in Mathematics, supplemented by earlier thematic reviews and curriculum-comparison studies, to synthesize findings relevant to three themes: curricular variation in reduced-formula instruction; documented student errors specific to quadratic-formula application; and pedagogical recommendations for teaching this technique in a conceptually grounded rather than purely procedural manner.

Curricular Variation in Reduced-Formula Instruction

A 2026 systematic review of empirical research on the teaching and learning of quadratic equations, synthesizing 38 studies drawn from fourteen countries including Australia, Brazil, Germany, Indonesia, the Philippines, South Africa, Sweden, Thailand, Turkey, and the United States, documents that the general and reduced forms of the quadratic formula are associated with correspondingly general and reduced (monic) equation forms, $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ versus $x^2 + px + q = 0$, with the reduced-form solution formula, sometimes referred to in parts of Europe as the "pq-formula," representing a distinct but related simplification from the even-coefficient reduced-discriminant case addressed in this review (Gustafsson, 2026).

Critically for the present topic, this systematic review reports that content coverage of quadratic equations varies substantially across national curricula: Korean textbooks introduce quadratic equations earlier than American textbooks, Swedish textbooks emphasize the quadratic formula over factoring methods to a greater degree than Polish textbooks, and comparative analysis of Singaporean, Turkish, and International Baccalaureate curricula reveals differing approaches to embedding quadratic equations relative to polynomial content more broadly (Gustafsson, 2026, citing Hong & Choi, 2014; Sağlam & Alacacı, 2012; Sönnerhed, 2021). One specific finding of direct relevance to the even-coefficient case is that at least one national curriculum context, the Swedish context specifically, has been documented as

favoring the general quadratic formula in official curriculum materials over the simplified formula, with research suggesting that broader use of the general form alone, without the reduced or even-coefficient simplification, may be associated with reduced student capability in efficiently solving quadratic equations (Gustafsson, 2026, citing Olteanu & Holmqvist, 2012).

Student Errors in Quadratic Formula Application

Among the documented sources of student difficulty with quadratic equations, computational and arithmetic errors specific to applying the quadratic formula, as distinct from conceptual errors regarding the nature of quadratic equations themselves, are prominently and repeatedly documented across the reviewed literature. The 2026 systematic review identifies rational numbers and radicals as specifically challenging computational elements when students apply the quadratic formula, with errors of this type constituting one of the most consistently reported issues associated with this particular solution method across the included studies (Gustafsson, 2026). This finding is directly relevant to even-coefficient instruction, since the principal computational advantage of the reduced-discriminant formula, smaller numbers appearing under the radical and in the denominator, is specifically intended to mitigate this well-documented category of student error.

The same review further documents a distinct but related issue: a tendency among some students to apply the quadratic formula universally to all quadratic equations regardless of whether a more efficient method, such as factoring or square-root extraction, would be more appropriate for the specific equation at hand, a pattern the review's author interprets as reflecting an implicit assumption that the quadratic formula represents the singularly correct or most legitimate solution method (Gustafsson, 2026). This overreliance pattern is of particular relevance to even-coefficient instruction, since effective use of the reduced-discriminant simplification presupposes that students first recognize the even-coefficient structure of a given equation as a cue for method selection, a form of structural or flexible reasoning that the reviewed literature suggests many students do not spontaneously exercise.

Pedagogical Recommendations for Conceptual, Method-Comparative Instruction

Recommendations for teaching quadratic equations drawn from the studies on student learning synthesized in the 2026 systematic review converge on several themes with direct relevance to reduced-formula instruction. First, recommendations emphasize introducing quadratic equations in varied structural

forms, encouraging students to recognize and compare different equation structures, including presumably the even- versus odd-coefficient distinction relevant to reduced-formula applicability, rather than encountering only standard textbook-typical forms (Gustafsson, 2026, citing Didis et al., 2011; Kabar, 2018). Second, recommendations emphasize showing students multiple solution strategies explicitly, on the grounds that comparative exposure to alternative methods supports the kind of flexible, method-selection reasoning that overreliance on a single formula, as documented above, appears to undermine (Gustafsson, 2026, citing Aygor & Burhanzade, 2015).

More broadly, the review's overarching synthesis concludes that mastering quadratic equations requires developing flexible knowledge, understood as the ability to know multiple solution strategies and to judge when each is most appropriately applied, and that such flexibility requires not merely procedural fluency in executing a given method but conceptual understanding of why a given method works and how the structural form of a specific equation relates to the most efficient available solving strategy (Gustafsson, 2026). Applied specifically to the even-coefficient reduced-formula case, this synthesis suggests that effective instruction should present the reduced formula not as an isolated computational shortcut to be memorized, but as one instance within a broader pedagogical emphasis on structural recognition, situated alongside factoring, square-root extraction, and the general quadratic formula as alternative strategies whose relative efficiency depends on the specific coefficients of a given equation.

Discussion

The literature reviewed here indicates that instruction on the even-coefficient, reduced-discriminant case of the quadratic formula sits at the intersection of two broader, well-documented findings in the quadratic equations education literature: substantial cross-curricular variation in which solution methods and equation forms receive systematic instructional attention, and a persistent pattern of student overreliance on the general quadratic formula applied indiscriminately, at the expense of more efficient, structure-sensitive alternatives (Gustafsson, 2026). Taken together, these findings suggest that the reduced-discriminant simplification for even b is unlikely to be spontaneously discovered or consistently applied by students in the absence of explicit instructional attention, since doing so requires precisely the kind of structural recognition and comparative method-selection reasoning that the reviewed literature identifies as a general area of student difficulty rather than one confined to this specific case.

The documented association between reliance on the general formula alone and reduced student solving capability in the Swedish curricular context (Gustafsson, 2026, citing Olteanu & Holmqvist, 2012) offers indirect but suggestive support for the pedagogical value of explicitly teaching the reduced-discriminant simplification where the linear coefficient is even, though it should be noted that this specific finding was not the central focus of the underlying primary study and that direct, purpose-designed empirical research isolating the effect of even-coefficient reduced-formula instruction specifically, as distinct from quadratic-formula instruction more generally, does not appear to be well represented in the currently synthesized literature.

Limitations of the reviewed literature, as it bears on this specific topic, include the fact that no study identified in the primary systematic review synthesized here appears to have investigated the even-coefficient reduced-discriminant case as its explicit and central focus; the relevant findings are instead drawn inferentially from broader research on quadratic-formula application errors, curricular form variation, and general recommendations for flexible, comparative method instruction. This represents a genuine gap in the mathematics education literature and a plausible direction for future targeted empirical research.

Conclusion

This review of recent literature on the teaching and learning of quadratic equations indicates that instruction specific to the even-coefficient, reduced-discriminant simplification of the quadratic formula is not extensively researched as a distinct topic, but can be productively informed by three well-established findings from the broader quadratic equations education literature: substantial cross-curricular variation in which solution forms and methods receive systematic attention, well-documented student difficulty with the arithmetic demands of general quadratic-formula application, and consistent pedagogical recommendations favoring explicit, comparative instruction across multiple solution strategies over isolated procedural instruction in any single method. These findings collectively suggest that effective teaching of the even-coefficient case should situate it explicitly within a broader instructional emphasis on structural recognition and flexible method selection, and highlight the value of future research specifically targeting this under-examined simplification.

Funding
This research received no external funding.

Conflict of Interest
The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Aygor, N., Burhanzade, H., 2015. Determining novice teachers' pedagogical content knowledge about quadratic equations. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences.
2. Didis, M.G., Bas, S., Erbas, A.K., 2011. Students' reasoning in quadratic equations with one unknown. Proceedings of PME 35.
3. Gustafsson, M., 2026. Empirical findings on the teaching and learning of quadratic equations: a systematic review. Educational Studies in Mathematics 123, 73-118.
4. Hong, D.S., Choi, K.M., 2014. A comparison of Korean and American secondary school textbooks: the case of quadratic equations. Educational Studies in Mathematics 85, 241-263.
5. Kabar, M.G.D., 2023. A thematic review of quadratic equation studies in the field of mathematics education. Participatory Educational Research 10(4), 29-48.
6. Olteanu, C., Holmqvist, M., 2012. Differences in success in solving second-degree equations due to the different instructional designs. International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology 43(5), 575-587.
7. O'Connor, B.R., Norton, S., 2024. Exploring the challenges of learning quadratic equations and reflecting upon curriculum structure and implementation. Mathematics Education Research Journal 36, 151-176.
8. Sağlam, R., Alacacı, C., 2012. A comparative analysis of quadratics unit in Singaporean, Turkish and IBDP mathematics textbooks. Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education 3(3), 131-147.
9. Sönnerhed, W.W., 2021. Quadratic equations in Swedish textbooks for upper-secondary school. LUMAT: International Journal on Math, Science and Technology Education 9(1), 518-545.